Lab Report Evaluation Rubric BIO 2500 General Botany

Fall, 2010

Name ______Lab. Sec. (check): __Tue __Wed __Thu

Criterion	Evaluation	Comments	Score
Report Title	(2) Title accurately describes focus of the report(1) Title lacks specificity, or is otherwise unsuitable(0) Title not provided.		/2
Report Format	 (3) Meets all specifications: text 2X-spaced, figures and tables in proper location and in specified sequence (2) Acceptable: minor deviations from specified format (≤1) Two or more of the above specifications are not met. 		Raw X 2 =/6
Background and Purpose	(3) Introduces subject of the study, its value, scientific relevance, your purpose, and your hypothesis(es) (2) Slight lack of clarity of one or more aspects (above) (1) Two or more components are not clearly presented		/3
Methods	Uses five (5) or fewer sentences to describe approach to accomplish purpose (above). Briefly helps reader to visualize how data were collected (i.e. treatments, random sampling, transects, quadrats); cites reference sources for details. "First person" is preferred over passive voice. (3) Clear/complete; (2) Lacks some clarity and/or content;(<1) Major deficiencies in content and clarity		Raw Score X 2 =/6
Data Analysis and Display	 (7) Computations correct; figures/tables well laid out, and readable; table columns, chart axes, and curves suitably labeled; correct spelling; table title and figure legend present the subject ("what's here). (6) Deficient in one or two of the above (≤5) Deficient in three or more aspects noted above. 		Raw Score x 3 =/21
Results – Decisions on Statistics	 _ (3) States "Results" concisely; supports with parenthetic reference to statistics; gives correct verdict on hypothesis. _ (2) Some lack of clarity in expression or statistical logic _ (1) Writing shows major deficiency in ≥2 of the above. 		/3
Results – Conceptual Knowledge	(3) Relates "Results" to the "Purpose" of this research; correct application of ecological concepts (e.g. distribution, richness, biodiversity, floristic quality) and other research (2) Weak application to purpose or concept. knowledge (1) Writing shows major deficiency in ≥1 of the above.		Raw Score X 2 =/6
Grammar in Scientific Context	(3) Concise, declarative sentences; good subjects "up front" that emphasize the biological; emphasizes active voice; logical flow; paragraph structure; reference citations (2) Lacks ≥1 of above. (1) Lacking in ≥2 of above.		/3
Summary Comments:			
		Total Points =	/50